530 fifth avenue, new york, ny 10036-5101 www.nysscpa.org

July 22, 2002

Lisa A. Snyder
Director, Professional Ethics Division
AICPA
Harborside Financial Center
201 Plaza Three
Jersey City, NJ 07311-3881

Email: lsnyder@aicpa.org

In re: Exposure Draft: Omnibus Proposal of Professional Ethics Division Interpretations and Rulings

Dear Ms. Snyder:

The New York State Society of Certified Public Accountants, the oldest state accounting association, which represents some 30,000 CPAs, thanks the AICPA Professional Ethics Executive Committee for the opportunity to comment on the above-referenced Exposure Draft.

The Society's Professional Ethics Committee deliberated the provisions contained in the Exposure Draft and prepared the attached comments for PEEC's consideration. If PEEC would like to discuss these comments with the Committee, please contact Rona L. Cherno, the Committee chair, at (212) 874-0348, or Dennis O'Leary, NYSSCPA staff, at (212) 719-8418.

Sincerely,

Jo Ann Golden President



 $5\,3\,0$ fifth avenue, new york, ny $1\,0\,0\,3\,6\,\text{-}\,5\,1\,0\,1$ www.nysscpa.org

NEW YORK STATE SOCIETY OF CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

COMMENTS ON AICPA PROFESSIONAL ETHICS EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE EXPOSURE DRAFT

Omnibus Proposal of Professional Ethics Division Interpretations and Rulings

Principal Drafter

Rona L. Cherno

July 17, 2002

NYSSCPA 2002- 2003 Board of Directors

Jo Ann Golden, William Aiken Neville Grusd David W. Henion President Spencer L. Barback Jeffrey R. Hoops, Michael G. Baritot Nancy A/ Kirby President-elect Rosemarie A. Barnickel Sandra A. Napoleon-Hudson Thomas E. Riley, Peter L. Berlant Nancy Newman-Limata Raymond M. Nowicki Arthur Bloom Secretary Frank J. Aquilino, Andrew Cohen Kevin J. O'Connor Treasurer Walter Daszkowski Robert S. Peare Laurence Keiser, Vice President Michael J. DePietro Mark A. Plostock Katharine K. Doran Joseph J. Schlegel Barbara S. Dwyer Robert E. Sohr Stephen F. Langowski, Vice President Andrew M. Eassa Robert A. Sypolt

Carol C. Lapidus, Vice President

David Evangelista

Franklin H. Federmann

Beth Van Bladel

Howard D. Weiner

Louis Grumet, ex officio

David Evangelista

Franklin H. Federmann

Beth Van Bladel

Howard D. Weiner

Angelo J. Gallo

Philip Wolitzer

NYSSCPA 2002- 2003 Professional Ethics Committee

Rona L. Cherno, Chair Bruce I. Panock Ronald B. Hegt Kevin D. Bandoian Richard D. Isserman John F. Raspante Ian J. Benjamin Suzanne M. Jensen Joseph Schachter John A. Cherpock Robert Kawa Burton L. Shepard Robert S. Cheskes Stuart G. Lang Paul D. Warner Melvin F. Crystal Peter F. Logrieco Margaret A. Wood Sandra A. Napoleon-Hudson Julie L. Floch

NYSSCPA Staff

Dennis O'Leary Ann Spaulding

The New York State Society of Certified Public Accountants Professional Ethics Committee Comment Letter

Exposure Draft: Omnibus Proposal of Professional Ethics Division Interpretations and Rulings

July 17, 2002

General Comments

The committee appreciates the opportunity to play a part in the standard-setting process by responding to these important proposals regarding professional ethics. The committee concurs with the revisions of the interpretations and believes that they are necessary to strengthen definitions and to assure appropriate compliance because they address many of the current uncertainties about independence.

Specific Comments

Proposed Revision of Interpretation No. 101-1A.2 Under Rule 101.

The committee supports expanding the definition of the impairment of independence to cases where a covered member serves as a trustee, executor, or administrator of an estate or trust that has a financial interest in an attest client. The percentage tests as indicated in the exposure draft are appropriate.

Proposed Revision of Interpretation No. 101-2 Under Rule 101.

This revision addresses a cooling-off period during which independence would be impaired if an attest client employs an audit firm professional unless certain conditions are met. The exposure draft references studies showing that mandatory, complete restrictions on such employment would unnecessarily restrict employment opportunities and would not be the most effective means of regulating the issue. The committee concurs with the exposure drafts proposal for a combination of restrictions and safeguards (policies and procedures) as an appropriate and effective manner for dealing with potential threats to independence arising from such situations.

Regarding employment by a client, the committee concurs and wants to emphasize that " when the individual will have *significant interaction* with the attest engagement team, the firm should assess whether the existing attest engagement team members have the appropriate experience and status within the firm to effectively deal with the former firm professional and his or her work. Appropriate steps should be taken by the firm based on the results of the assessment."

Proposed Revision of Interpretation No. 101-10 Under Rule 101.

The committee concurs with the proposed changes because they conform the current interpretation to the government reporting models for financial control.

Proposed Revision of Ethics Ruling No. 41 Under Rule 101.

The committee concurs with the expansion of the current ethics ruling, which now applies only to insurance company clients, to cover all financial service company clients.

Proposed Revision of Ethics ruling No. 70 Under Rule 101.

The committee concurs with the proposal for a grace period and for a limited exception for uninsured deposits with a financial institution that is an attest client, in cases where the likelihood of the client experiencing financial difficulties is remote.

Proposed Deletion of Ethics Ruling No. 77 Under Rule 101.

The committee agrees with the deletion of this ruling, which would be prospectively covered under No. 101-2, discussed above.